

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DANIEL CANADAY, et al.,  
Plaintiffs,

v.

COMCAST CORPORATION, et al.,  
Defendants.

Case No. [15-cv-04648-JSW](#)

EDMUNDO ORTEGA, JR., et al.,  
Plaintiffs,

v.

COMCAST CORPORATION, et al.,  
Defendants.

Case No. [15-cv-04676-JSW](#)

JASON WILLIAMS, et al.,  
Plaintiffs,

v.

COMCAST CORPORATION, et al.,  
Defendants.

Case No. [15-cv-04732-JSW](#)

LAMBERTO VALENCIA, et al.,  
Plaintiffs,

v.

COMCAST CORPORATION, et al.,  
Defendants.

Case No. [15-cv-04771-JSW](#)

JEFFREY COLEMAN, et al.,  
Plaintiffs,

v.

COMCAST CORPORATION, et al.,  
Defendants.

Case No. [15-cv-04782-JSW](#)

RICHARD NELSON, et al.,  
Plaintiffs,

v.

COMCAST CORPORATION, et al.,  
Defendants.

Case No. [15-cv-04793-JSW](#)

CALEB DUBOIS, et al.,  
Plaintiffs,

v.

COMCAST CORPORATION, et al.,  
Defendants.

Case No. [15-cv-04809-JSW](#)

GREGORY PETERS, et al.,  
Plaintiffs,

v.

COMCAST CORPORATION, et al.,  
Defendants.

Case No. [15-cv-04869-JSW](#)

**ORDER RE BRIEFING OF TOLLING  
AND COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL  
ISSUES**

Re: Dkt. Nos. 31, 32


The Court has received and considered the parties' February 19, 2016 briefs in *Canaday v. Comcast Corp.*, 15-cv-04648-JSW. Defendants propose that the tolling and collateral estoppel questions in *Canaday* and these related cases be presented in a single motion for judgment on the pleadings by Defendants. Plaintiffs agree that it is unlikely that a decision in *Anderson v. Michaels Stores, Inc.*, Ninth Circuit No. 14-56726, will be fully dispositive of the tolling issue presented in these related cases. Accordingly, the Court will not stay consideration of the tolling and collateral estoppel issues pending a decision in *Anderson*. Defendants may file a single

1 motion for judgment on the pleadings raising the tolling and collateral estoppel issues.

2 The motion for judgment on the pleadings may be filed in *Canaday* only, or a single  
3 motion may be filed in all of the related cases. The parties are reminded that these cases are  
4 related, not consolidated. Therefore, if the parties wish to file papers in more than one of the  
5 related cases, they must list each applicable case number in the caption and separately e-file the  
6 document in each case.

7 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

8 Dated: February 22, 2016

9  
10   
11 JEFFREY S. WHITE  
12 United States District Judge  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28